sachin.

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 311 OF 2007.

Vikas Narayan Sangle & Anr. ..Petitioners.

Versus.

Mum. Municipal Corporation of
Gr. Bombay & Others. ..Respondents.

---

A. R. Pande for the petitioner.

Ahmad Abdi for the respondent nos. 3 & 4.

**CORAM: S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.**

**DATE: JANUARY 18, 2007.**

**P.C.**

1. The impugned order warrants no interference. The dispute in the suit is as to whether the revocation of the permission granted by the B.M.C. to the petitioner was justified or not. The Society in which the premises is located has been impleaded. It is this order which is impugned in this writ petition.

2. The only ground on which the petitioner can possibly be prejudiced is that by the Society being impleaded it may be open to the defendants to contend that the suit is not maintainable. Learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the Society clarifies that this is not a dispute between the Society and the petitioner as a member of the society. He states that the society is being impleaded only for the purpose of assisting the Court in indicating why the permission ought not be granted under the Rules of the B.M.C.

3. The above clarification and statement are accepted.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed. Time to carry out the amendments is extended by four weeks from today.